



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,663	10/25/2000	Henry N. Holtzman	PRT-004	4174
51414	7590	10/07/2005	EXAMINER	
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP			WINTER, JOHN M	
PATENT ADMINISTRATOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EXCHANGE PLACE			3621	
BOSTON, MA 02109-2881				

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/696,663	HOLTZMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John M. Winter	3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2005.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-31 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-31 are pending.

Response to Arguments

The Applicants arguments filed on October 24, 2004 have been fully considered.

The amended claims are rejected in view of the newly discovered reference Haymann (US Patent 5,365,046), the Janning reference has been withdrawn.

See following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Hagan et al. (US Patent 6,314,406) and further in view of Daly et al. (US Patent 5,878,141) and further in view of Haymann (US Patent 5,365,046)

As per claim 16,

O'Hagan et al. ('406) discloses a system for selling an item at a retail location, comprising the steps of

identifying in the customer data a selected customer order and a preferred payment method; (Figures 27 and 18)

a display for displaying the selected customer order at the retail location to a retail clerk; (Figure 18)

O'Hagan et al. ('406) does not explicitly disclose a token reader for receiving at the physical retail location an identifier associated with token presented by the customer at the physical location. Haymann ('046) discloses a token reader for receiving at the physical retail location an identifier associated with token presented by the customer at the physical location. (Column 2, lines 20-39) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine O'Hagan et al.'s device with Haymann's teaching of a token reader for receiving at the retail location an identifier associated with token presented by the customer in order to more efficiently serve the customer.

O'Hagan et al. ('049) does not explicitly disclose a POS device for executing payment by the customer for the selected customer order by the preferred payment method. Daly et al. ('141) discloses identifying in the customer data a preferred payment method for the customer

(Figure 2) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine O'Hagan et al.'s system with Daly et al's teaching in order to more efficiently serve the customer.

O'Hagan et al. ('049) does not explicitly disclose a dispatch module for accessing customer data from a database located somewhere other than the token based on the received identifier associated with the token; Pare Jr. et al ('166) discloses a dispatch module for accessing customer data from a database located somewhere other than the token based on the received identifier associated with the token; (Column 12, lines 5-23). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine O'Hagan et al.'s method with Pare Jr. et al's teaching of accessing customer data in order to more efficiently serve the customer.

As per claim 17,
O'Hagan et al ('406) discloses the system of claim 16
further comprising a database server in communication with the dispatch module over a computer network for providing customer data in response to a request comprising an identifier.(Figure 17)

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-15, 18-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and complying with double patenting statutes.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Winter whose telephone number is **(571) 272-6713**. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, **James Trammell** can be reached at **(571) 272-6712**. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Examiner in the Knox Building, 50 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA.

JMW
September 30, 2005

